|  |
| --- |
| **Currency**: the timeliness of the information…………………………………………...................................• When was the information published or posted? *February 8, 2020*• Has the information been revised or updated? *yes*• Is the information current or out-of-date for your topic? *current*• Are the links functional? *Yes* |
| **Relevance**: the importance of the information for your needs…………………………………………….• Does the information relate to your topic or answer your question? *Yes*• Who is the intended audience? *Democrats and anyone interested in the political race*• Is the information at an appropriate level? *Yes, very well written*• Have you looked at a variety of sources before choosing this one? *no*• Would you be comfortable using this source for a research paper? *Yes, the information is extremely relevant and current.* |
| **Authority**: the source of the information…………………………………....................................................• Who is the author/publisher/source/sponsor? *Eugene Kiely, Brooks Jackson, Lori Robertson, Robert Farley, D’Angelo Gore, Jessica McDonald, Saranac Hale Spencer, Angelo Fichera and Isabella Fertel*• Are the author's credentials or organizational affiliations given? *yes*• What are the author's credentials/organizational affiliations if given? Factcheck.org staff• What are the author's qualifications to write on the topic? *Some of the authors have extensive journalism experience and some have a degree in journalism and philosophy*• Is there contact information, such as a publisher or e-mail address? *There is contact information*• Does the URL reveal anything about the author or source? *No* |
| **Accuracy**: the reliability, truthfulness, and correctness of the content……………..........................................• Where does the information come from? *Several different sources*• Is the information supported by evidence? *Yes, it quotes what was said in the debate and then follows it up with information*• Has the information been reviewed or refereed? *yes*• Can you verify any of the information in another source? *yes*• Does the language or tone seem biased and free of emotion? *No the article seems more informational than debatable*• Are there spelling, grammar, or other typographical errors? *No* |
| **Purpose**: the reason the information exists…………………………………………………………….... • What is the purpose of the information? *To inform reader of the democratic debate*• Do the authors/sponsors make their intentions or purpose clear? *no*• Is the information fact? opinion? propaganda? *fact*• Does the point of view appear objective and impartial? *impartial*• Are there political, ideological, cultural, religious, institutional, or personal biases? *none* |

<https://www.factcheck.org/2020/02/factchecking-the-new-hampshire-democratic-debate/>

|  |
| --- |
| **Currency**: the timeliness of the information…………………………………………...................................• When was the information published or posted? *February 11, 2020*• Has the information been revised or updated? *yes*• Is the information current or out-of-date for your topic? *current*• Are the links functional? *Yes* |
| **Relevance**: the importance of the information for your needs…………………………………………….• Does the information relate to your topic or answer your question? *Yes*• Who is the intended audience? *Doctors, Nurses, Chinese People*• Is the information at an appropriate level? *No it’s a lot of “he says, she says”*• Have you looked at a variety of sources before choosing this one? *no*• Would you be comfortable using this source for a research paper? *No because in mostly everything they discussed it was referred back to one source which is a radio show* |
| **Authority**: the source of the information…………………………………....................................................• Who is the author/publisher/source/sponsor? *Daniel Funke*• Are the author's credentials or organizational affiliations given? *yes*• What are the author's credentials/organizational affiliations if given? *Daniel Funke is a staff writer covering online misinformation for PolitiFact.*• What are the author's qualifications to write on the topic? *none*• Is there contact information, such as a publisher or e-mail address? *email*• Does the URL reveal anything about the author or source? *Far right radio host* |
| **Accuracy**: the reliability, truthfulness, and correctness of the content……………..........................................• Where does the information come from? *Several different sources*• Is the information supported by evidence? *yes*• Has the information been reviewed or refereed? *no*• Can you verify any of the information in another source? *yes*• Does the language or tone seem biased and free of emotion? *It seemed biased in selfish thought way they don’t really seem to really have a motive*• Are there spelling, grammar, or other typographical errors? *No* |
| **Purpose**: the reason the information exists…………………………………………………………….... • What is the purpose of the information? *To share their opinion on the virus*• Do the authors/sponsors make their intentions or purpose clear? *yes*• Is the information fact? opinion? propaganda? *opinion*• Does the point of view appear objective and impartial? *impartial*• Are there political, ideological, cultural, religious, institutional, or personal biases? *Political, cultural* |

<https://www.politifact.com/factchecks/2020/feb/11/hal-turner-radio-show/far-right-radio-host-hal-turner-promotes-unproven-/>