|  |
| --- |
| **Currency**: the timeliness of the information…………………………………………...................................  • When was the information published or posted? *February 8, 2020*  • Has the information been revised or updated? *yes*  • Is the information current or out-of-date for your topic? *current*  • Are the links functional? *Yes* |
| **Relevance**: the importance of the information for your needs…………………………………………….  • Does the information relate to your topic or answer your question? *Yes*  • Who is the intended audience? *Democrats and anyone interested in the political race*  • Is the information at an appropriate level? *Yes, very well written*  • Have you looked at a variety of sources before choosing this one? *no*  • Would you be comfortable using this source for a research paper? *Yes, the information is extremely relevant and current.* |
| **Authority**: the source of the information…………………………………....................................................  • Who is the author/publisher/source/sponsor? *Eugene Kiely, Brooks Jackson, Lori Robertson, Robert Farley, D’Angelo Gore, Jessica McDonald, Saranac Hale Spencer, Angelo Fichera and Isabella Fertel*  • Are the author's credentials or organizational affiliations given? *yes*  • What are the author's credentials/organizational affiliations if given? Factcheck.org staff  • What are the author's qualifications to write on the topic? *Some of the authors have extensive journalism experience and some have a degree in journalism and philosophy*  • Is there contact information, such as a publisher or e-mail address? *There is contact information*  • Does the URL reveal anything about the author or source? *No* |
| **Accuracy**: the reliability, truthfulness, and correctness of the content……………..........................................  • Where does the information come from? *Several different sources*  • Is the information supported by evidence? *Yes, it quotes what was said in the debate and then follows it up with information*  • Has the information been reviewed or refereed? *yes*  • Can you verify any of the information in another source? *yes*  • Does the language or tone seem biased and free of emotion? *No the article seems more informational than debatable*  • Are there spelling, grammar, or other typographical errors? *No* |
| **Purpose**: the reason the information exists……………………………………………………………....  • What is the purpose of the information? *To inform reader of the democratic debate*  • Do the authors/sponsors make their intentions or purpose clear? *no*  • Is the information fact? opinion? propaganda? *fact*  • Does the point of view appear objective and impartial? *impartial*  • Are there political, ideological, cultural, religious, institutional, or personal biases? *none* |

<https://www.factcheck.org/2020/02/factchecking-the-new-hampshire-democratic-debate/>

|  |
| --- |
| **Currency**: the timeliness of the information…………………………………………...................................  • When was the information published or posted? *February 11, 2020*  • Has the information been revised or updated? *yes*  • Is the information current or out-of-date for your topic? *current*  • Are the links functional? *Yes* |
| **Relevance**: the importance of the information for your needs…………………………………………….  • Does the information relate to your topic or answer your question? *Yes*  • Who is the intended audience? *Doctors, Nurses, Chinese People*  • Is the information at an appropriate level? *No it’s a lot of “he says, she says”*  • Have you looked at a variety of sources before choosing this one? *no*  • Would you be comfortable using this source for a research paper? *No because in mostly everything they discussed it was referred back to one source which is a radio show* |
| **Authority**: the source of the information…………………………………....................................................  • Who is the author/publisher/source/sponsor? *Daniel Funke*  • Are the author's credentials or organizational affiliations given? *yes*  • What are the author's credentials/organizational affiliations if given? *Daniel Funke is a staff writer covering online misinformation for PolitiFact.*  • What are the author's qualifications to write on the topic? *none*  • Is there contact information, such as a publisher or e-mail address? *email*  • Does the URL reveal anything about the author or source? *Far right radio host* |
| **Accuracy**: the reliability, truthfulness, and correctness of the content……………..........................................  • Where does the information come from? *Several different sources*  • Is the information supported by evidence? *yes*  • Has the information been reviewed or refereed? *no*  • Can you verify any of the information in another source? *yes*  • Does the language or tone seem biased and free of emotion? *It seemed biased in selfish thought way they don’t really seem to really have a motive*  • Are there spelling, grammar, or other typographical errors? *No* |
| **Purpose**: the reason the information exists……………………………………………………………....  • What is the purpose of the information? *To share their opinion on the virus*  • Do the authors/sponsors make their intentions or purpose clear? *yes*  • Is the information fact? opinion? propaganda? *opinion*  • Does the point of view appear objective and impartial? *impartial*  • Are there political, ideological, cultural, religious, institutional, or personal biases? *Political, cultural* |

<https://www.politifact.com/factchecks/2020/feb/11/hal-turner-radio-show/far-right-radio-host-hal-turner-promotes-unproven-/>